

New findings and a new species of the genus *Ammothea* (Pycnogonida, Ammotheidae), with an updated identification key to all Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species

E. Cano-Sánchez · P. J. López-González

Received: 4 September 2013 / Revised: 28 November 2013 / Accepted: 2 December 2013 / Published online: 17 January 2014
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg and AWI 2014

Abstract Specimens of the pycnogonid genus *Ammothea* collected during the *Polarstern* cruise XXIII/8 (23 November 2006–30 January 2007) were studied. Nine species were recognized in this collection: *Ammothea bentartica*, *A. bicorniculata*, *A. carolinensis*, *A. clausi*, *A. longispina*, *A. minor*, *A. spinosa*, *A. striata* and *A. tibialis*. Three of them (*A. bentartica*, *A. bicorniculata* and *A. tibialis*) are reported for the second time, enlarging their known geographical and bathymetric range. In the present contribution, the observed morphological variability of all collected *Ammothea* species is described and discussed. For the identification and description of the material, different museum specimens were consulted. Among them, we have consulted part of the Discovery collection housed at the Natural History Museum in London. That material was initially identified by Isabella Gordon, a reputed author in the field of pycnogonid taxonomy. A new species, based on a museum specimen previously highly confused in the literature, is proposed in the present contribution as *Ammothea isabellae* n. sp. The new taxon is compared with its closest congeners, especially with *A. longispina* and *A. stylirostris*. Finally, we propose an updated dichotomous key to species covering all currently known Antarctic and sub-Antarctic *Ammothea* species.

Keywords Antarctica · Subantarctica · Sea spider · *Ammothea* species · Key to species

Introduction

The Antarctic marine fauna has been intensively studied during recent decades, and it has been established that the Antarctic continental shelf shelters one of the richest benthic faunas in the oceans of the world (Arntz et al. 1994). Pycnogonids are an important component of this fauna and have intensively studied.

The pycnogonid family Ammotheidae is one of the best represented in Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters, with *Ammothea* Leach 1814 being the best represented genus, currently including about 30 Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species among the 41 recognized species in the genus (Munilla and Soler-Membrives 2009; Cano and López-González 2013).

The most recent reports on the Ammotheidae from Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters are those of Arnaud et al. (1986; King George Island and South Shetlands Islands), Bamber (2011; King George Island), Child (1994; various Antarctic areas), Chimenz Gusso and Gravina (2001; Magellanic Strait and Ross Sea), Fry and Hedgpeth (1969; Ross Sea), Munilla (2000, 2001, 2002; Scotia Sea, Drake Passage, Antarctic Peninsula and surrounding islands waters), Munilla and Soler-Membrives (2007; Bransfield Strait), Turpaeva (1974; Scotia Sea), Pushkin (1993; different Antarctic areas), Soler-Membrives et al. (2009; Eastern Weddel Sea), Nielsen et al. (2009; Ross Sea) and Cano and López-González (2007, 2013; Ross Sea and South Shetlands Islands). Among all these authors, Child's as well as Fry and Hedgpeth's contributions also summarized references and the

Communicated by H.-D. Franke.

E. Cano-Sánchez (✉) · P. J. López-González
Biodiversidad y Ecología de Invertebrados Marinos,
Departamento de Zoología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de
Sevilla, Avda. Reina Mercedes 6, 41012 Seville, Spain
e-mail: ecano@us.es

P. J. López-González
e-mail: pjlopez@us.es

historical background of previous investigations from this area.

Most Antarctic and sub-Antarctic *Ammothea* species are endemic to the Southern Ocean. However, the exact limits of distribution are often not clear, mainly because of a lack of information about character variability and the taxonomic value of these characters. Some artificial keys have been proposed to help with the initial identification of *Ammothea* species (Gordon 1932; Fry and Hedgpeth 1969; Clark 1977; Child 1994), but a continuous update of these tools is necessary, as well as the addition of information about the variability of some characters. These keys may often become unreliable when new information from new collections or redescription is available.

In this paper, data on *Ammothea* species collected in the course of the Polarstern cruise XXIII/8 (23 November 2006–30 January 2007) to the South Shetland and Joinville islands area are presented. Each *Ammothea* species is described, including (when necessary) illustrations. The variability observed in some characters when compared to previously published literature is briefly discussed. During this investigation, the examination of old collected specimens deposited in the Museum of Natural History in London led us to propose a new species based on a specimen previously identified at generic level by Gordon (1932). Finally, an updated key to Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species of *Ammothea* prepared for adult forms is also presented in order to help further investigations.

Materials and methods

The material studied in this paper was collected during the Polarstern cruise XXIII/8 (November 23, 2006–January 30, 2007) by bottom trawl and a small Agassiz trawl at the South Shetlands Islands, Bransfield Strait and Joinville Island, Antarctica. Individuals were fixed in 10 % buffered formalin and then transferred to 70 % ethanol.

For comparative purposes, the type material of *Ammothea longispina* Gordon, 1932 deposited in the Natural History Museum has also been consulted (BMNH 1933.3.23.659), as well as a specimen initially identified as “*Ammothea* sp.?” (BMNH 1933.3.23.666), but considered by Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) and subsequent authors as the former species.

The specimens here examined have been deposited at the Museo de Zoología de Barcelona, Spain (MZB), and at the collection of the research group Biodiversidad y Ecología de Invertebrados Marinos (BEIM) at the University of Seville, Spain.

Results

Family Ammotheidae Dohrn, 1881

Genus *Ammothea* Leach, 1814

Ammothea bentartica Munilla, 2001

Ammothea bentartica Munilla, 2001, pp. 145–148, figs. 1–2.- Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100 (list)

Material examined

MZB (2013-3690) one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 605-1. Elephant Island, 61°20.35'S 55°29.16'W, 146–151 m, Bottom trawl, 19 December 2006. BEIM (CRP-86) two adult females, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 678-1, South Shetland Islands, 62°19.36'S 60°27.10'W, 109–129 m, Bottom trawl, 2 January 2007. MZB (2013-3691) one adult females, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 674-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°59.10'S 59°55.57'W, 286–318 m, Bottom trawl, 1 January 2007.

Diagnosis

Proboscis longer than the trunk, moderately slender, almost cylindrical with a feeble constriction at mid-length. Trunk with tall conical tubercles at dorsomedial points on segmentation ridges, shorter than ocular tubercle. Abdomen straight upward. Chelifores with no functional chelae. Palp 9-articled, second and fourth articles subequal in length; articles 5, 6 and 7 broader than long. Ovipiger strigilis with denticulate spines. Legs glabrous; tibia II is the longest article. Propodus with 8–9 spines along the entire sole. Auxiliary claws about half main claw length.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

This species has only been reported in the original description by Munilla (2001) (one male, holotype and one male and one female, paratypes). The type locality is Livingston Island (South Shetland Islands, Antarctica), between 167 and 325 metres depth. Our specimens were collected off South Shetland Islands, between 109 and 318 metres depth. According to the above listed records, with our specimens, 7 individuals of this uncommon species are now known.

Remarks

The material examined for this study agrees in general aspects with the descriptions given by Munilla (2001). This author pointed out that this species is the first Antarctic one with denticulate spines on the strigilis, which can also be observed in our specimens.

***Ammothea bicorniculata* Stiboy-Risch, 1992**

Ammothea bicorniculata Stiboy-Risch, 1992
part *Ammothea allopododes* Munilla and Soler-Membrives,
2009, p. 100 (list.)

Material examined

MZB (2013-3692), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 654-6, Elephant Island, 61°22.80'S 56°03.84'W, 340–342 m, Agassiz trawl, 29 December 2006. BEIM (CRP-87), one adult male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 616-1, Elephant Island, 60°49.81'S 55°36.76'W, 487–484 m, Bottom trawl, 22 December 2006. MZB (2013-1873), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 687-1, Joinville Island, 62°35.19'S 54°45.99'W 263–257 m, Bottom trawl, 4 January 2007.

Diagnosis

Proboscis shorter than trunk, rounded with a proximal constriction, less than twice as long as wide. Three anterior trunk segments with tall dorsomedial tubercles. Ocular tubercle as tall as dorsomedial tubercles. Anterior cephalic segment with tubercles, dorsal to chelifore insertion. Abdomen nearly horizontal or slightly upward, with low spinulose basal tubercle. Chelifores with functional chelae, scape longer than half proboscis length. Palp 9-articled, second and fourth articles subequal in length. Legs with four longitudinal bands of spinules, tibia II is the longest article. Propodus with two heel spines. Auxiliary claws about half main claw length.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

This species has only been reported in the original description by Stiboy-Risch (1992) (one female, holotype and one male, paratype). Holotype locality is Princess Martha Coast (Weddell Sea) (473 m depth), and paratype locality is Elephant Island (220 m depth). Our specimens were collected off Elephant Island and Joinville Island, between 257 and 486 m depth. According to the above listed records, with our specimens, 5 individuals of this uncommon species are now known.

Remarks

The material examined for this study agrees in general aspects with the descriptions given by Stiboy-Risch (1992). However, the holotype original figure shows dorsomedial tubercles steep-sided and slightly rounded or flat topped. Our specimens show tall and pointed dorsomedial

tubercles, also the size of body and the spination of legs is variable; one male shows spination similar to the holotype, and the other male and the female show reduced spinules.

Munilla (2001) succinctly mentioned that *Ammothea bicorniculata* is probably a junior synonym of *A. allopododes*, but without further indications. Some years later on, Munilla and Soler-Membrives (2009) considered (in their species list) this synonym, but it was not discussed. However, characters such as the presence of functional chelifores and a similar propodus in all four legs could be considered useful for separating *A. bicorniculata* from *A. allopododes*.

***Ammothea carolinensis* Leach, 1814**

Ammothea carolinensis Leach, 1814, p. 34, pl. XIII.- Calman, 1920, pp. 246–247.- Clark, 1977, pp. 174–175 (key).- Munilla, 1991, pp. 22–23.- Müller, 1993, pp. 27–28.- Child, 1994, pp. 18–19.- Chimenz Gusso and Gravina, 2001, p. 338.- Munilla, 2001, p. 145 (list).- Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100 (list).- Nielsen et al., 2009, p. 1150 (list).- Bamber, 2011, p. 30.

Ammothea grandis Pfeffer, 1899, p. 43.- Bouvier, 1913, p. 126.- Hodgson, 1927, p. 341.

Ammothea curculio Bouvier, 1906a, p. 20.- Bouvier, 1906b, p. 40.- Hodgson, 1927, p. 341.

Leionymphon grande. Bouvier, 1906b, pp. 60–5, pl. III.- Hodgson, 1907, pp. 41–3, pl. VI.- Hodgson, 1908, 169–170.- Bouvier, 1911, 1140.

Leionymphon gibbosum. Hodgson, 1907, p. 40 (list.).

Ammothea gibbosa. Bouvier, 1913, p. 127, figs. 78–82.- Calman, 1915, pp. 51–52.- Gordon, 1938, pp. 20–21.- Gordon, 1944, p. 52.- Hedgpeth, 1950, p. 152.

Ammothea (Ammothea) carolinensis. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp. 73–75, figs. 104–108.- Turpaeva, 1974, p. 284.- Turpaeva, 1998, p. 57.- Pushkin, 1993, pp. 286–288, fig. 252.

Colossendeis gibbosa Möbius, 1902, pp. 192–193, pl. XXX.

Colossendeis ? charcoti Bouvier, 1905, p. 296.

Material examined

MZB (2013-3693); MZB (2013-1867), one adult female and one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 614-3, Elephant Island, 60°52.37'S 55°29.80'W, 248–259 m, Agassiz trawl, 21 December 2006. BEIM (CRP-88); MZB (2013-3694); MZB (2013-1869); MZB (2013-1870); BEIM (CRP-83), three adult females and three larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 605-1. Elephant Island, 61°20.35'S 55°29.16'W, 146–151 m, Bottom trawl, 19 December 2006. MZB (2013-3695), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 657-1, Elephant Island, 61°14.28'S 55°48.96'W, 133–145 m, Bottom trawl, 29 December 2006. MZB

(2013-3696), one adult female, one adult male and one ovigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 668-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°49.32'S 58°34.74'W, 193-152 m, Bottom trawl, 31 December 2006. MZB (2013-3697), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 700-2, Larsen B, core-station South, 65°55.07'S 60°20.15'W, 445-442 m, Bottom trawl, 11 January 2007. MZB (2013-3698), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 670-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°51.69'S 59°15.43'W, 263-270 m, Bottom trawl, 1 January 2007. MZB (2013-3699), one ovigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 608-1, Elephant Island, 61°11.34'S 54°43.17'W, 293-284 m, Bottom trawl, 20 December 2006. BEIM (CRP-82), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 614-1, Elephant Island, 60°52.52'S 55°29.21'W, 250-245 m, Bottom trawl, 21 December 2006. MZB (2013-1868), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 640-1, Elephant Island, 61°12.72'S 55°52.29'W, 136-154 m, Bottom trawl, 26 December 2006. MZB (2013-1866), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 605-1, Elephant Island, 61°20.35'S 55°29.16'W, 151-146 m, Bottom trawl, 19 December 2006.

Diagnosis

Proboscis longer than trunk, swollen towards mid-length. Three anterior trunk segments with broad dorsomedial tubercles. Ocular tubercle shorter than dorsomedial tubercles. Chelifores very short, no functional chelae, down-curved. Palp 9-articled, fourth article the longest palp article and the terminal 4 articles tubular in shape. Legs slender, second tibiae the longest of major articles, spination variable. Propodus with 3 heel and in most cases one sole spine. Auxiliary claws about half main claw length.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) and Child (1994) summarized the geographical and bathymetric information concerning this species. According to these authors, *A. carolinensis* should be considered circumpolar, living between 10 and 640 m; the bathymetric range was slightly increased in Munilla (2001) and Munilla and Soler-Membrives (2009) 3–670 m. Our sampling data do not modify the known geographical and bathymetric distribution of this species.

Remarks

This is the most common Antarctic *Ammothea* species. Several species have been synonymised with *A. carolinensis* over the years, but its characters are considered to be sufficiently homogeneous to remain a single species (Child 1994). Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) pointed to the spination of

the walking leg as the only possible character that could be objectively compared for delimiting new species within *A. carolinensis* variability. However, after the examination of their available materials, they considered leg spination may be influenced by very local environmental conditions or that this species is polymorphic with respect to this character.

The material examined for this study agrees in general aspects with the diagnosed set of characters given by Fry and Hedgpeth (1969), Munilla (1991), Child (1994) and Chimenz and Gravina (2001), although there are some differences in propodus spination between the various published descriptions. Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) described the spination of the propodus as variable between three and four heel spines (equal or slightly varying in size), and in most specimens, there was an additional sole spine, while Child (1994) described the propodus spination as “1 major heel spine and 2 smaller spines distal to it”. Our specimens show propodus spination coincident with Fry and Hedgpeth's (1969) and Munilla's (1991) description: three heel spines, although the relative sizes of these spines are similar to those observed by Munilla (1991, fig. 8b), proximal spine slightly shorter than the two subsequent ones, and one shorter sole distal spine.

Ammothea clausi Pfeffer, 1889

Ammothea clausi Pfeffer, 1889, p. 45. Bouvier, 1913, pp. 135–138, 88–89. Hodgson, 1927, p. 342, 343 (key). Gordon, 1932, pp. 109–110. Hedgpeth, 1950, p. 152. Clark, 1977, pp. 174–175 (key). Pushkin, 1993, pp. 290–291, fig. 256. Child, 1994, pp 19–20. Chimenz Gusso and Gravina, 2001, p. 338. Munilla, 2000, p. 49 (list.). Munilla, 2000, p. 49 (list.). Munilla, 2001, p. 145 (list.). Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100 (list.). Bamber, 2011, p. 30. *Ammothea antarctica* Bouvier, 1905, p. 296. Bouvier, 1906a, p. 19. *Leionymphon antarcticum*. Bouvier, 1906b, pp. 56–60, 37–39, pl. III. *Leionymphon clausi*. Hodgson, 1907, p. 40 (list). Hodgson, 1908, pp. 160–71, pl. II. Bouvier, 1911b, 1140. Helfer and Schlotke, 1935, p. 284. *Leionymphon australe* Hodgson, 1907, p- 46–49, pl. VII (fig. 1). *Ammothea australis*. Bouvier, 1913, p. 123 (key). Calman, 1915, p. 53. Hodgson, 1927, p. 341, p. 342 (key). Gordon, 1938, p. 20. *Ammothea australe*. Loman, 1923, p. 23. *Ammothea (Theamma) clausi*. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp 77-79, figs. 112–115.

Material examined

MZB (2013-3700), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 613-1, Elephant Island, 60°55.99'S

55°28.53'W, 113–157 m depth, Bottom trawl, 21 December 2006. MZB (2013-3701), three adult females, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 668-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°49.32'S 58°34.74'W, 193–152 m, Bottom trawl, 31 December 2006. BEIM (CRP-90), one adult male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 614-3, Elephant Island, 60°52.37'S 55°29.80'W, 248–259 m, Agassiz trawl, 21 December 2006. MZB (2013-3702), four adult females, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 679-1, South Shetland Islands, 62°23.84'S 60°48.79'W, 87–91 m, Bottom trawl, 2 January 2007. MZB (2013-3703), one adult male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 616-1, Elephant Island, 60°49.81'S 55°36.76'W, 487–484 m, Bottom trawl, 22 December 2006. BEIM (CRP-89), five adult females, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 679-1, South Shetland Islands, 62°23.84'S 60°48.79'W, 87–91 m, Bottom trawl, 2 January 2007. MZB (2013-1871); BEIM (CRP-84), two larvigerous males, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 674-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°59.10'S 59°55.57'W, 286–318 m, Bottom trawl, 1 January 2007.

Diagnosis

Proboscis pyriform shape. Trunk with transverse body ridges low without medial tubercles. Ocular tubercle conical and pointed. Lateral processes with paired dorsodistal tubercles. Chelifores slender, chelae atrophied. Palp 9-articled, second article the longest palp article. Legs with four longitudinal bands of spinules, tibia II is the longest article. Propodus sole spination varies between 2 and 8 spines, the most proximal spine markedly smaller.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969), Pushkin (1993) and Child (1994) summarized the geographical and bathymetric information concerning this species. According to these authors, this species should be considered circumpolar, living between 3 and 860 metres. The newly collected specimens do not modify the known distribution or depth range of *A. clausi*.

Remarks

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) considered *Ammonothea clausi* as a dimorphic species, possibly heading towards a speciation event. They discussed two geographical forms, the “Magellanic form” and the “Victoria Land form”, the vertical posture of the abdomen and the steep, sharp, ocular tubercle being the character states. In fact, the “Victoria Land form” had been called *A. australis* (Hodgson 1907) for many years until Fry and Hedgpeth synonymised the two species because they found specimens intermediate in their critical characters.

Our specimens are similar to “Magellanic form”, except one male (CRP-90) with intermediate critical characters, it has an abdomen like “Magellanic form” and ocular tubercle similar to “Victoria Land form” (but flattened with two little cones). If this is an example of a very variable species or whether it should be considered, a complex of different species is a question which must be dealt with in a different contribution, including biometry on a large number of specimens and probably molecular information.

Ammonothea isabellae n. sp. (Figs 1, 2)

Ammonothea sp.? Gordon, 1932, pp. 108–109, fig. 58.

part *Ammonothea (Homatheo) longispina*. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp. 88–90, figs. 132–136.

part *Ammonothea longispina*. Child, 1994, p. 24.

Material examined

BMNH (1933.3.23.666) one female, holotype, Discovery Expedition, Stn. W S 216, 1 June 1928. 47°37'S 60°50'W, 219–133 m., f. S. Commercial otter trawl, 1925–31.





Fig. 1 *Ammonothea isabellae* sp. nov. Holotype, female. **a** Dorsal view; **b** lateral view (showing the relative size and distribution of spinules). Scale 2 mm

Fig. 2 *Ammothea isabellae* sp. nov. Holotype, female. **a** Third leg, right (*scale* 5 mm); **b** Chelifore (*scale* 0.5 mm); **c** Distal third leg articles (*scale* 1 mm); **d** detail from c; **e** Oviger (*scale* 1 mm); **f** Left palp.; **g** Right palp (drawn by Gordon, 1932) (*scale* f and g 1.5 mm)



Description of the holotype (female)

Size moderately small, leg span 73 mm. Proboscis styli-form, straight, slender; widest in proximal half length. Proboscis shorter than trunk length. Trunk broad, oval, and fully segmented, with lateral processes separated, less than half of process diameter. Lateral processes with two low dorsodistal tubercles. Cephalic segment without dorso-frontal tubercles. Conical dorsal processes on the three anterior trunk segments. Trunk segments with scattered short spines. Abdomen long and erect. Ocular tubercle with a slightly rhomboidal profile in lateral view, taller than wide, topped by a cone, and taller than dorsomedial tubercles. Four eyes, anterior pair slightly larger than posterior pair.

Chelifores not functional, chelae with reduced fingers. Scape one-articled, slightly down curved, less than half of proboscis length. Chelae antero-ventrally oriented.

Palps 9-articled, slender, spinulose, shorter than proboscis. Second article shorter than fourth; without strong ectal mound surmounted by a pore.

Oviger ten-articled. Eighth article articulated syntaxially with seventh. Articles without or with scarce spinules, these are distally placed in articles 7–10, but more disperse in articles 5 and 6.

Legs slender. First coxa with two dorsolateral tubercles similar to those on lateral processes; the second coxa is the longest and has a dorsomedial pore on top of protuberance. Second tibia and femur are subequal and are the longest articles. Articles with scattered spines mainly forming six

bands (two dorsal, two lateral and two ventral), and these bands are not clearly defined on tarsus and propodus. Oval sexual pores located ventrally on the second coxa in all legs. Tarsus short, with three ventrodistal spines. Propodus similar in all legs, with three heel spines increasing in size distally and covering less than the proximal half of the propodus. Main claw longer than 0.5 times length of propodus; auxiliary claws about 0.36 times length of main claw.

Measurements of holotype (mm)

Length of trunk (tip of the cephalic segment to the tip of fourth lateral processes): 7.7. Width of trunk across second lateral processes: 5.9. Length of proboscis: 6.8. Basal diameter of proboscis: 1.5. Greatest diameter of proboscis: 1.5. Length of abdomen: 2.7. Length of chelifore: 2.4. Length of scape: 1.7. Length of chelae and palm: 0.7. Length of palp (right palp after Gordon's image): 4.1; length of palp articles (first to ninth): 0.3, 1, 0.3, 1.2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2. Length of third leg: 33.5; length of articles of leg 3: coxa 1 1.5, coxa 2 3, coxa 3 2, femur 8.5, tibia 1 7, tibia 2 9, tarsus 0.5, propodus 2.4, claw 1.4, auxiliary claws 0.5. Length of oviger: 5.2; length of oviger articles (first to 10th): 0.4, 1.1, 0.7, 1.1, 1.2, 0.8, 0.6, 0.7, 0.5, 0.5.

Etymology

This species is named in homage to Isabella Gordon, for her important contribution to our knowledge of the

pycnogonid fauna of the Southern Ocean, and for being the first author who examined the material presently proposed as a new species.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

At present, *Ammothea isabellae* n. sp. is only known from its type locality in the south-eastern Atlantic (47°37'S 60°50'W), 219–133 m depth.

Remarks

Initially, the material here considered as *Ammothea isabellae* n. sp. was described as *Ammothea* sp. by Gordon (1932) because it bears a strong superficial resemblance to the holotype of *Ammothea longispina*. Later Fry and Hedgpeth (1969), Child (1994) and Pushkin (1993) considered both materials as belonging to the same species, as *A. longispina*. Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) consulted Gordon's material and apparently drew the specimen of *Ammothea* sp. as the general figure of *A. longispina* (see Fry and Hedgpeth 1969, fig. 133 and fig. 1 in this paper) as well as the terminal article of leg three (see Gordon 1932, fig. 58c and Fry and Hedgpeth 1969, fig. 134A), while other details illustrated on the palp and ovigerous were reproduced from Gordon's figures of *A. longispina* (see Gordon 1932, fig. 52a, b and Fry and Hedgpeth 1969, fig. 134C, B).

Ammothea isabellae n. sp. is only comparable with *A. longispina* Gordon, 1932 and *A. stylirostris* Gordon, 1932 as they share the following set of characters: (1) adult chelifores with atrophied finger; (2) styliform proboscis; (3) transverse body ridges prominent, with conical dorsal processes; (4) second tibiae is the longest article; (5) propodus of all legs similar in proportion and sole armature.

A styliform proboscis is only present in three *Ammothea* species in the Southern Ocean: *A. stylirostris*, *A. longispina* and *A. isabellae* n. sp. (see Gordon 1932; Fry and Hedgpeth 1969; Pushkin 1993; Child 1994 and this paper). However, the proportions and shape of the proximal portions of the proboscis in the three species are distinctly different. In *A. longispina*, the proboscis is 6.5 times as long as wide, and the proximal part is narrower than the wider part, which is located in the first basal third. In *A. stylirostris*, the proboscis is 2.9 times as long as wide, and the proximal part is funnel shaped, with the wider part of the proboscis at the proximal border in contact with the cephalic segment. Finally, in *A. isabellae* n. sp., the corresponding proboscis length/width ratio is 4.5, and the proximal part is cylindrical along the basal third of its length, this also being the widest part.

Ammothea isabellae n. sp. and *A. stylirostris* have a 9-articled palp, while in *A. longispina*, it is 8-articled. The

combined length of the four first palp articles in *Ammothea isabellae* n. sp. and *A. longispina* is shorter than half the proboscis length; while in *A. stylirostris*, it is nearer to the entire proboscis length (see fig. 1 in this paper, Gordon 1932, figs. 52 and 56).

Gordon (1932, in key, p. 95) pointed out for her specimens of "*Ammothea* sp.?", that the palp "is not quite normal and may be longer or at least equal to proboscis length", because she considered that "the terminal article of the right palp may have been regenerated" and that the left palp was undergoing regeneration. During the examination of the holotype, it can be seen that the right palp described and illustrated in detail by Gordon (1932, p.108) is lacking. Gordon also describes the regeneration state of the left palp (still present on the holotype and illustrated in this paper). In this case, for the above provided biometric data of the palp, we have only included that information extrapolated from Gordon's image with the dimension of the second article observed in the currently only existing palp in the designated holotype. However, it should be observed that there are slight differences in the proportions of palp articles two and four between the Gordon's image (right palp apparently in correct shape, see fig. 2G in this paper) and our image (left palp, assumed to be in regeneration, or to be considered theratologic, see fig. 2F in this paper). For these reasons, the characters related to the relative proportions of palp articles are not used in this species comparison.

The ratio of the trunk length to proboscis length in the three species in this discussion is another distinguishing character. In *Ammothea stylirostris*, the trunk is longer than the proboscis (1.4 times based on the original holotype description), in *A. isabellae* n. sp. is slightly longer (1.1 times based on the original description data and further holotype examination), while in *A. longispina*, the trunk is slightly shorter than the proboscis (0.87 times based on the holotype and 0.86 times based on six additional specimens).

Ammothea longispina possess blunt rounded tubercles on the anterior cephalic segment, in *A. stylirostris* these are low rounded tubercles, but *A. isabellae* n. sp. lacks tubercles on the anterior cephalic segment.

In reference to the propodal armature, *Ammothea longispina* has propodi with two heel spines of different sizes, while *A. isabellae* n. sp. has three heel spines (the proximal one shorter), and *A. stylirostris* has two heel spines and one medial spine of similar size.

In short, the diagnostic characters of *A. isabellae* n. sp. are: non-functional chelifores, proboscis cylindrical on its basal portion, proboscis slightly shorter than trunk, without tubercles on anterior cephalic segment, palp 9-articled shorter than proboscis and propodi with three heel spines. Table 1 summarizes the main differences between the species here compared.

Table 1 Main differences between *A. stylirostris*, *A. longispina* and *A. isabellae* n. sp.

	<i>A. stylirostris</i>	<i>A. longispina</i>	<i>A. isabellae</i> n. sp.
Proboscis shape	Proximal part funnel shaped, wider part at the proximal border in contact with the cephalic segment	Proximal part narrow, wider part at the first basal third.	Proximal part cylindrical along the basal third of its length, this also being the widest part.
Ratio proboscis length/width	2.9	6.5	4.5
Palp articles	9	8	9
Combined length of the four first palp articles	Nearer to the entire proboscis length	Shorter than half the proboscis length	Shorter than half the proboscis length
Ratio trunk length/proboscis length	Trunk longer than proboscis	Trunk slightly longer than proboscis	Trunk slightly shorter than proboscis
Tubercles on the anterior cephalic segment	Low rounded tubercles	Blunt rounded tubercles	Without tubercles
Propodal armature	Two heel spines and one medial spine of similar size	Two heel spines of different sizes	Three heel spines (the proximal one shorter)

Ammothea longispina Gordon, 1932

Ammothea longispina Gordon, 1932, pp. 101–103, figs. 50–52.- Helfer and Schlotke, 1935, p. 235.-Gordon, 1944, pp. 49–50, fig. 16f.- Clark, 1977, p.174 (list), 175 (key).- Child, 1982, p.3.- Pushkin, 1993, pp. 297–298. - Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100 (list).- Nielsen et al., 2009, p. 1150 (list).- Soler-Membrives et al., 2009, p. 1392 (list).- Weis et al., 2011, p. 302.

Ammothea sp? Gordon, 1932, 108, fig. 58

part *Ammothea* (*Homathea*) *longispina*. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp. 88-90, figs. 132–136.

Ammothea (*Homathea*) *longispina*. Turpaeva, 1974, p. 284.

part *Ammothea longispina*. Child, 1994, p. 24.

Material examined

MZB (2013-3704), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 669-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°49.97'S 58°41.30'W, 208-192 m, Bottom trawl, 31 December

2006. BEIM (CRP-91), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 608-1, Elephant Island, 61°11.34'S 54°43.17'W, 293-284 m, Bottom trawl, 20 December 2006. MZB (2013-1875); MZB (2013-3705), one larvigerous male and one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 661-2, South Shetland Islands, 61°39.29'S 57°02.89'W, 467-466 m, Bottom trawl, 30 December 2006. MZB (2013-3706), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 629-1, Elephant Island, 61°00.39'S 55°46.30'W, 162-191 m, Bottom trawl, 24 December 2006. MZB (2013-1874), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 605-1. Elephant Island, 61°20.35'S 55°29.16'W, 146-151 m, Bottom trawl, 19 December 2006. BMNH (1933.3.23.659) one female, holotype, Discovery Expedition, Stn. 170, Off Cape Bowles, Clarence Island, 61°25'30'S 53°46'W, 342 m, R. Large dredge, 1925-31.

Diagnosis

Proboscis styliform, longer than trunk. Trunk with dorso-medial conical tubercles on segmentation ridges. Ocular tubercle tall, rounded at apex. Anterior cephalic segment with tubercles dorsal to chelifore insertion. Abdomen erect. Chelifores with chelae atrophied. Palps 8-articled, shorter than proboscis, second and fourth articles subequal in length. Legs with setae arranged in longitudinal rows on the longest articles, tibia II is the longest article. Propodi with 2 heel spines of different sizes. Auxiliary claws shorter than half main claw length.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

Ammothea longispina shows a wide distribution and depth range. This species should be considered circumpolar with depth range between 57 and 1454 m (Fry and Hedgpeth 1969; Child 1994; Munilla and Soler-Membrives 2009). The specimens here examined were found within the known geographical and bathymetric range for this species.

Remarks

Gordon (1932) characterized *Ammothea longispina* by “(1) the long tapering proboscis, (2) the relatively short palp, (3) the very large spine on the propodus and (4) the blunt antero-lateral cephalic lobes”. She described another *Ammothea* species as “*Ammothea* sp.?” (this material is described in this paper as *A. isabellae* n. sp.) because it bears a strong superficial resemblance to the holotype of *Ammothea longispina*. Both Gordon's species are similar morphologically, but they have different critical characters: *A. longispina* has an 8-articled palp, a proboscis longer than the trunk and an anterior cephalic segment with

tubercles; while *Ammothea* sp.? has a 9-articled palp (after Gordon, 1932: 108), a proboscis shorter than the trunk and has no anterior tubercles on the cephalic segment.

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) considered that Gordon (1932) “confused by the 9-segmented palp was doubtful of the exact position of her specimen” (*Ammothea* sp.?) and then considered this latter material as belonging to *A. longispina*. These authors also examined a juvenile specimen, which had a palp with seven articles. Hence, they considered that the number of palp articles in this species is variable between seven and nine, as did subsequent authors (Pushkin 1993; Child 1994).

Variation in the number of palp articles is difficult to envisage in adults of the same species of *Ammothea*. The number of palp articles is an important taxonomic character, and its variability should be accepted when several specimens show this condition. The palp of *Ammothea longispina* should be considered 8-articled because the mention of a 9-articled condition is only based on the observation of a single specimen recorded as *Ammothea* sp.? by Gordon, 1932. Other taxonomic characters should also be consulted to consider if both forms actually belong to the same species or not (see above in this paper).

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969, p. 90) considered that the palp of *A. longispina* can be formed of seven articles, because the juvenile specimens they examined showed that condition. However, juvenile (ontogenetic) characters should not be considered for diagnosing species, nor in comparison with other adult characters; only adult characters should be considered. As with other pycnogonids, *Ammothea* species achieve all adult-stage characters in the course of successive moults.

Our specimens agree in general aspects with the descriptions given by Gordon (1932) for the holotype specimen. She pointed out a very large spine on the propodus; the propodus has two heel spines, the proximal one being shorter than the distal (Gordon 1932, fig. 50b). However, in one of our specimens (MZB 2013-3705), the proximal heel spine is the longest one. If this is a teratology, variability or the presence of sibling species should be examined with more abundant material than that currently available for this study.

***Ammothea minor* (Hodgson, 1907)**

Leionymphon minus Hodgson, 1907, p. 44, pl. VI. Helfer and Schlotzke, 1935, p. 284.

Ammothea gracilipes. Bouvier, 1913, pp. 132–135, figs. 85–87.

Ammothea minor. Bouvier, 1913, pp. 131–132, figs. 83–84. Calman, 1915, pp. 52–53. Loman, 1923, p. 23. Hodgson, 1927, p. 342 (key). Gordon, 1932, pp. 103–04. 1938, pp. 21–22. 1944, p. 51. Fage, 1952, pp. 271–272. Stock, 1965, p. 2. Clark, 1977, pp. 174–175. Krapp, 1980, p. 2.

Pushkin, 1993, pp. 291–293. Child, 1994, p. 25. Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100 (list.).

Ammothea (Theammoa) minor. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp. 79–81, figs. 104, 105, 116, 119.

Material examined

BEIM (CRP-85), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 608-1, Elephant Island, 61°11.340S 54°43.170 W, 284-293 m, Bottom trawl, 20 December 2006. MZB (2013-1872), one larvigerous male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 614-3, Elephant Island, 60°52.37'S 55°29.80'W, 248-259 m, Agassiz trawl, 21 December 2006.

Diagnosis

Proboscis pyriform. Trunk with conical tubercles at dorso-median points on segmentation ridges, as tall as or taller than ocular tubercle. Chelifores short, slender with chelae atrophied. Palp 9-articled, 5–8 articles asymmetrically conical or cylindrical. Second tibia the longest article of the legs. Propodus with 4–7 homogeneous short heel spines and confined within half the length of the propodal sole.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) and Child (1994) summarized all geographical and bathymetric information on this species. According to these authors, this species should be considered circumpolar, with a depth range between 15 and 392 m. Others authors add little new data, modifying the known depth range to 8–392 m (Pushkin 1993; Munilla and Soler-Membrives 2009). Our geographical and bathymetric sampling data are included in the known distribution of this species.

Remarks

The material examined for this study agrees in general aspects with the descriptions given by Hodgson (1907) and Bouvier (1913) and subsequent ones provided by Calman (1915), Fry and Hedgpeth (1969), Pushkin (1993) and Child (1994); except for the length proportions of the claw and auxiliary claws. In specimens described by these authors, the auxiliary claws are similar to or shorter than half the main claw (e.g. 0.42–0.57 in Fry and Hedgpeth 1969, p. 80), while in our specimens, the auxiliary claws are distinctly longer than half the main claw (the auxiliary claw is 0.64 and 0.69 times the main claw length).

***Ammothea spinosa* (Hodgson, 1907)**

Leionymphon spinosum Hodgson, 1907, pp. 49–50, pl. VII.- Bouvier, 1906b, p. 55.- Helfer and Schlotzke, 1935, p. 284.

Ammothea spinosa. Bouvier, 1913, p. 123.- Calman, 1915, p. 52.- Hodgson, 1927, pp. 341–342. Gordon, 1932, p. 103, fig. 53.- Clark, 1977, pp. 174–175.- Child, 1982, pp. 3–4.- Pushkin, 1993, pp. 301–302, fig. 272.- Child, 1994, pp. 27–28.- Chimenz Gusso and Gravina, 2001, pp. 338–339.- Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100.- Weis and Melzer, 2012, p. 190.- Cano and López-González, 2013, p. 338, figs. 5a and 6a.

Ecleipsothremma spinosa. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp. 96–97, figs. 126 and 148.- Turpaeva, 1974, p. 285.

Material examined

MZB (2013-3707), one adult male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 654-1, Elephant Island, 61°22.01'S 56°00.95'W, 353–356 m, Bottom trawl, 28 December 2006. MZB (2013-3708), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 695-1, Bransfield Strait, 63°00.55'S 58°38.01'W, 269–293 m, Bottom trawl, 6 January 2007. BEIM (CRP-92), one adult male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 653-1, Elephant Island, 61°19.59'S 56°00.24'W, 344–356 m, Bottom trawl, 28 December 2006.

Diagnosis

Proboscis shorter than trunk length, cylindrical, slightly swollen in the middle, with flat lip and rounded distal part. Trunk with tall conical tubercles at dorsomedian points on segmentation ridges. Ocular tubercle taller than dorsomedian tubercles, distally pointed. Chelifores non-functional, the articulation of the scape and palm is syntaxial. Palp 9-articled. Propodus of the first and second legs markedly different from those of the third and fourth.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

This species is known from different localities from the Scotia Sea, Antarctic Peninsula, Ross Sea, Weddell Sea and South America, in a wide depth range of 73–1,679 m (Child 1994; Chimenz Gusso and Gravina 2001; Fry and Hedgpeth 1969; Munilla and Soler-Membrives 2009; Pushkin 1993; Weis and Melzer 2012). Our geographical and bathymetric sampling data are included in the known distribution of this species.

Remarks

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) and Child (1994) compared this species with *Ammothea allopedes*, because they were the only two *Ammothea* species with dimorphism between anterior and posterior propodi. The general shape of dorsomedial tubercles, the orientation of the abdomen or

the presence of a basal tubercle have been used as some of the distinguishing characters between the two species. Recently, Cano and López-González (2013) considered a wide variability of these characters, and they propose as discriminating characters the morphology of the proboscis, the relative length of the proximal four articles of the palp and the articulation of the scape and palm of the chelifore. These authors considered these discriminating characters when describing an additional new *Ammothea* species with dimorphism between anterior and posterior propodi, *Ammothea pseudospinosa* Cano and López-González 2013.

Descriptions given by Hodgson (1907) and Bouvier (1913), and subsequent ones provided by Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) and Child (1994), characterized *A. spinosa* by the presence of setae on the dorsomedial tubercles and on the leg surfaces. However, our specimens have short setae which are few in number. This variability is, at this moment, here considered to be intraspecific.

Ammothea striata (Möbius, 1902)

Leionymphon striatum Möbius, 1902, pp. 183–184, pl. XXVI.- Bouvier, 1906b, p. 55.- Hodgson, 1907, p. 40.- Bouvier, 1911b, p. 1140.

Ammothea striata. Bouvier, 1913, pp. 124–126, figs. 7–12.- Calman, 1915, p. 55.- Hodgson, 1927, pp. 341–342.- Gordon, 1932, pp. 96–97.- Gordon, 1938, p. 22.- Clark, 1977, pp. 174–175.- Munilla, 1991, pp. 23–24.- Child, 1994, pp. 28–29.- Munilla, 2001, p. 145.- Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100.

Tavmastopycnon striata. Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969, pp. 91–92, figs. 139–142.- Pushkin, 1993, pp. 319–320, figs. 293–294.

Material examined

BEIM (CRP-93), three adult females, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 605-3, Elephant Island, 61°20.33'S 55°31.53'W, 137–154 m, Agassiz trawl, 20 December 2006. MZB (2013-3709), one adult male, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 664-1, South Shetland Islands, 61°38.86'S 57°48.04'W, 337–336 m, Bottom trawl, 30 December 2006.

Diagnosis

Proboscis curved ventrally, tapering at the end. Trunk with low rounded tubercles at dorsomedian points on segmentation ridges. Ocular tubercle conical as tall as or taller than dorsomedian tubercles. Chelifores with chelae fully formed. Palp 9-articled, second and fourth articles similar in length. Legs with rows of short spines on the long articles. Propodi without heel spines. Auxiliary claws about half main claw length.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

Fry and Hedgpeth (1969), Pushkin (1993) and Child (1994) summarized all geographical and bathymetric information on this species. According to these authors, this species should be considered circumpolar, with a depth range between 75 and 567 m. Data recorded by other authors (Munilla 2001; Munilla and Soler-Membrives 2009), and our geographical and bathymetric sampling data are included in the known distribution of this species.

Remarks

The material examined for this study agrees in general aspects with the descriptions given by Möbius (1902) and subsequent ones by Bouvier (1913), Fry and Hedgpeth (1969), Munilla (1991), Pushkin (1993) and Child (1994). Child (1994) briefly diagnosed a set of characters for this species, pointing out that auxiliary claws can reach more than half the main claw length. In our specimen as well as in those previously described, the auxiliary claws are about half the main claw length.

Ammothea tibialis Munilla, 2002

Ammothea tibialis Munilla, 2002, pp. 171–173, fig. 1.-Munilla and Soler-Membrives, 2009, p. 100 (list.).

Material examined

MZB (2013-3710), one adult female, Polarstern cruise XXIII/8, stn. 654-1, Elephant Island, 61°22.01'S 56°00.95'W, 353–356 m, Bottom trawl, 28 December 2006.

Diagnosis

Proboscis cylindrical, straight, and shorter than trunk in length. Trunk with conical-rounded dorsomedian tubercles on posterior ridges of each segment, lateral processes with a pair of dorsodistal pilose tubercles and narrowly separated (except last pair). Ocular tubercle as tall as the first dorsomedian tubercle, cylindrical, swollen around eyes and with low apical cone. Cephalic segment with two low anterior tubercles. Chelifores with atrophied chelae. Palp 9-articled, second article is the longest. Legs with femur as the longest article. Tibiae with ten rows of spines (four dorsal, four ventral and two lateral ones) and femur only has six rows (two dorsal, two ventral and two lateral ones). Propodus with three heel spines. Auxiliary claws shorter than half main claw length.

Geographical and bathymetric distribution

This species has only been reported in the original description by Munilla (2002) (one male, holotype). Type

locality is Scotia Sea (Drake Passage) at 710 m depth. Our specimen was collected off Elephant Island (Scotia Sea), between 353 and 356 m depth. Two specimens are now known.

Remarks

The material examined for this study agrees in general aspects with the descriptions given by Munilla (2002), although there are some differences between the original description and the specimen here examined: Munilla (2002) pointed out a proboscis without constriction and with the same diameter throughout, but our specimen shows a proboscis with a slight reduced diameter on the proximal half; holotype has propodi with three heel spines, the most proximal spine markedly smaller and the female here examined has propodi with three heel spines increasing in size from the proximal to the distal one; finally, the proportions between the auxiliary and main claw lengths are also slightly different. In Munilla's specimen, the auxiliary claws are 0.35 of the main claw length, while in our specimen, the auxiliary claws are 0.45.

Key to Southern Ocean species of *Ammothea* (Adults)

Child (1994) proposed the latest key to the species of *Ammothea* from the Southern Oceans, more than 15 years after the previous ones prepared by Fry and Hedgpeth (1969) and Clark (1977). During that period, new species were described, and new materials were collected, increasing our knowledge of the variability of some characters, making it necessary to update Fry and Hedgpeth's and Clark's keys. Child (1994) included in his key the 17 known Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species of *Ammothea*. For similar reasons, it is now necessary to update Child's key, because 30 species of this genus are now known. Although an improvement on previous proposals, Child's key included terms which are sometimes difficult to express precisely (e.g. slightly, moderate, slender), and some contradictory sentences, making it sometimes difficult to achieve correct, unambiguous identifications.

Munilla and Soler-Membrives (2009) cited 26 *Ammothea* species for Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters, but some of them are different to the ones considered for this key. Munilla and Soler-Membrives (2009) considered: 1) *Ammothea bicorniculata* as a synonym of *A. allopedes*, but as commented on above, both species have different critical characters (see the remarks on *A. bicorniculata* in this paper); 2) *Ammothea cooki* (Child, 1987) and *Ammothea dubia* (Hedgpeth, 1950) as valid species. However, the first one is currently accepted in the genus *Ascorrynychus*, while

the second one is considered a *Nomen dubium* in the genus *Boehmia*, and it has not been demonstrated that it should belong to the genus *Ammothea*, or even if it should be considered at all as its description was based on a juvenile form; and 3) *Ammothea gibbosa* Bouvier, 1913 as a valid species. However, *Colossendeis gibbosa* Möbius, 1902 (not Bouvier, 1913) is accepted as a synonym of *Ammothea carolinensis*.

In consideration that a key to a species should be a practical tool, in the present contribution, we propose a dichotomous key to the species of the genus *Ammothea* from Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters and have attempted to avoid subjective expressions and include precise characters or ranges of variation with discriminant value.

Key to Antarctic and sub-Antarctic species of *Ammothea* (adults)

1.	Adults with chelifores bearing chelae with developed fingers (functional)	2	
	Adults with chelifores with atrophied finger or severely reduced finger (non-functional)	8	
2.	With heel spines	3	
	Without heel spines	6	
3.	Length fourth palp article/length second article 0.8–1.4. Auxiliary claw equal or less than half main claw length	4	
	Length fourth palp article/length second article 1.9–2.5. Auxiliary claw more than half main claw length		<i>A. hesperidensis</i> Munilla, 2000
4.	Trunk longer than proboscis	5	
	Trunk shorter than proboscis		<i>A. childi</i> Cano and López-González, 2012
5.	Proboscis more than twice as long as wide. Ratio trunk/proboscis length <1.5. Ratio length/width of cheliphore scape about 4		<i>A. gigantea</i> Gordon, 1932
	Proboscis less than twice as long as wide Ratio trunk/proboscis length about 3. Ratio length/width of cheliphore scape <3		<i>A. bicorniculata</i> Stiboy-Risch, 1992
6.	Two spinose humps on each dorsal ridge of trunk. Dorsomedian cylindrical structure at second coxa and with dorsodistal tubercles on second and third coxa.		<i>A. bigibbosa</i> Munilla and Ramos, 2005
	A single hump on each dorsal ridge of trunk. Without dorsomedian or dorsodistal structures on coxae	7	
continued			
7.	Proboscis slender, tapering distally (banana-shaped), curved ventrally to about 90°, without any inflated area along. Chela subequal or longer than scapus in length.		<i>A. striata</i> (Möbius, 1902)
	Proboscis proximally inflated, distally a slender moderately downcurved cylinder. Chela shorter than scapus in length.		<i>A. adunca</i> Child, 1994
8.	Palps shorter than proboscis.	9	
	Palps longer than proboscis	11	
9.	Proboscis styliform	10	
	Proboscis not styliform		<i>A. sextarticulata</i> Munilla, 1989
10.	Palp 8-articled, anterior cephalic segment with tubercles		<i>A. longispina</i> Gordon, 1932
	Palp 9-articled, anterior cephalic segment without tubercles		<i>A. isabellae</i> n. sp.
11.	Palp 8-articled		<i>A. tetrapora</i> Gordon, 1932
	Palp 9-articled	12	
12.	Transverse body ridges low without medial tubercles	13	
	Transverse body ridges prominent, with medial tubercles	14	
13.	Two groups of sole spines, proximal group (heel spines) subequal in length. Ratio fourth/second palp article length ≥ 1		<i>A. magniceps</i> Thomson, 1884
	Two groups of sole spines, proximal group (heel spines) with the most proximal spine distinctly smaller. Ratio fourth/second palp article length <1		<i>A. clausi</i> Pfeffer, 1889
14.	Propodus similar for all four legs	15	
	Propodus of first and second distinctly different from those of the third and fourth legs	27	
15.	Dorsomedial tubercle on two trunk segments	29	
	Dorsomedial tubercle on three trunk segments	16	
16.	Length second palp article/length fourth article ≥ 1.4	17	
	Length second palp article/length fourth article <1.4	18	
17.	Proboscis similar length to trunk, moderately slender, distally inflated from proximal cylinder. Abdomen without basal tubercle		<i>A. meridionalis</i> Hodgson, 1915
	Proboscis shorter than trunk, cylindrical, rounded without constriction. Abdomen with a small spinose basal tubercle		<i>A. tibialis</i> Munilla, 2002

continued

18. Proboscis styliiform (gradually narrowing distally) *A. stylirostris* Gordon, 1932
 Proboscis not styliiform 19
19. Length trunk/length proboscis >1.5 20
 Length trunk/length proboscis <1.4 21
20. Length scape/length proboscis >0.5 *A. calmani* Gordon, 1932
 Length scape/length proboscis ≤0.5 *A. armentis* Child, 1994
21. With well-developed spines on the distal dorsal surface of the lateral processes *A. australiensis* Flynn, 1919
 Without or very minute spines on the distal dorsal surface of the lateral processes 22
22. Proboscis massive, about twice as long as wide. Fourth palp article with external glandular pore *A. glacialis* (Hodgson, 1907)
 Proboscis more elongate, from 2.5 to about 4 times as long as wide. Fourth palp article without external glandular pore 23
23. Propodus with spines on the distal half of the sole 26
 Propodus without spines on the distal half of the sole 24
24. Propodus with 4–7 spines (homogeneous in size) within proximal half sole. Ratio fourth/second palp article length <1.3 *A. minor* (Hodgson, 1907)
 Propodus with 3 heel spines (distinctly heterogeneous in size). Ratio fourth/second palp article length >1.5 25
25. Proboscis cylindrical, inflated at proximal half portion, more or less cylindrical on distal half. Ratio proboscis/scapus length <3 *A. victoriae* Cano and López-González, 2007
 Proboscis narrow proximally, but swollen along the 2/3 distal portion. Ratio proboscis/scapus length >3 *A. carolinensis* Leach, 1814
26. Trunk with conspicuous (tall) conical tubercles at dorsomedial points on segmentation ridges. Propodus with a group of 8–9 spines (not completely homogeneous in size) along the entire sole *A. bentartica* Munilla, 2001
 Trunk without conspicuous conical tubercles at dorsomedial points on segmentation ridges. Propodus with 4 long spines on distal part of the sole (none of them are heel spines). *A. gordonae* Child, 1994

continued

27. First four palp articles much longer than the proboscis length. The ocular tubercle is distally rounded. Proboscis egg shaped *A. allopedes* Fry and Hedgpeth, 1969
 First four palp articles subequal or shorter than proboscis length. The ocular tubercle is distally pointed. Proboscis more or less cylindrical 28
28. Proboscis cylindrical, slightly swollen in the middle, with rounded distal part. Lateral processes with paired dorsodistal curved pointed tubercles *A. spinosa* (Hodgson, 1907)
 Proboscis more or less cylindrical, with a two-thirds proximal part slightly inflated, after it a distinct constriction, and a wider and angular trilobulated distal part. Lateral processes without paired dorsodistal curved pointed tubercles *A. pseudospinosa* Cano and López-González, 2013
29. Dorsomedial tubercles of similar heights *A. antipodensis* Clark, 1971
 Dorsomedial tubercles markedly taller on segment 2 than on segment 3 *A. uru* Clark, 1977

Acknowledgments The authors would like to express their gratitude to Miranda Lowe (British Museum of Natural History) for the location of the type material of *Ammothea longispina* and *Ammothea sp.?* in the collections of the BMNH. Our thanks are also addressed to the officers and crew and many colleagues for their help on board during the *Polarstern* ANT XXIII/8 cruises. We take this opportunity to extend our thanks to the cruise leader and steering committee of the cruise, especially Julian Gutt and Enrique Isla (ANT XIII/8), all of whom kindly facilitated the work on board and allowed us to collaborate in this Antarctic programme. Support for this work was provided by POL2006-06399/CGL (*Polarstern* ANT XXIII/8 - CLIMANT). Mr. Tony Krupa is thanked for reviewing the English version.

References

- Arnaud PM, Jazdzewski K, Presler P, Sicinski J (1986) Preliminary survey of benthic invertebrates collected by Polish Antarctic expeditions in Admiralty Bay (King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica). *Pol Polar Res* 7:7–24
- Arntz WE, Brey T, Gallardo VA (1994) Antarctic zoobenthos. *Oceanogr Mar Biol, Ann Rev* 32:241–304
- Bamber RN (2011) The sea-spiders (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida) of Admiralty Bay, King George Island. *Pol Polar Res* 32:27–38
- Bouvier EL (1905) Observations préliminaires sur les Pycnogonides recueillis dans la région antarctique par la mission du Français. *Bull Mus Natl Hist Nat, Paris* 11:294–298
- Bouvier EL (1906a) Nouvelles observations sur les Pycnogonides recueillis dans les régions antarctiques au tours de la campagne

- dirigée par M. Jean Charcot. Compt Rend Hebd Seances Acad Sci, Paris 142:15–22
- Bouvier EL (1906b) Pycnogonides du Français. Exped Antarct Franç 1903–1905:1–69
- Bouvier EL (1911) Les Pycnogonides des Pourquoi Pas? Compt Rend Hebd Seances Acad Sci 152:1136–1142
- Bouvier EL (1913) Pycnogonides du pourquoi Pas?. Deux Expéd Antarct Française (1908–1910) 6:1–169
- Calman WT (1915) Pycnogonida. British Antarctic (Terra Nova) Expedition, 1910. Nat Hist Zool 3:1–74
- Calman WT (1920) On a collection of Pycnogonida from the South Orkney Islands. Ann Mag Nat Hist Ser 9:244–247
- Cano E, López-González PJ (2007) Ammotheidae (Arthropoda: Pycnogonidae) collected during the Victoria Land transect cruise 2004 (Ross Sea, Antarctica), with a description of a new species of Ammothea. Mar Biol Res 3:438–445
- Cano E, López-González PJ (2013) Two new species of *Ammothea* (Pycnogonida, Ammotheidae) from Antarctic waters. Helgol Mar Res 67:337–347
- Child CA (1982) Deep-sea Pycnogonida from the North and South Atlantic Basins. Smithson Contrib Zool 349:1–54
- Child CA (1994) Antarctic and Subantarctic Pycnogonida 1. The Family Ammotheidae. Antarct Res Ser 63:1–48
- Chimenz Gusso C, Gravina MF (2001) Faunistic and biological traits of some Antarctic Pycnogonida. Ital J Zool 68:335–344
- Clark WC (1977) The Genus *Ammothea* Leach (Pycnogonida) in New Zealand Waters: New species and a review. J R Soc N Z Zool 7:171–187
- Fage L (1952) Pycnogonides de la Terre Adélie. Bull Mus Natl Hist, Paris 24:263–273
- Fry WG, Hedgpeth JW (1969) Pycnogonida, 1. Colossendeidae, Pycnogonidae, Endeidae, Ammotheidae. Fauna of the Ross Sea 7. N Z Oceanogr Inst Mem 49:1–139
- Gordon I (1932) Pycnogonida. Discov Rep 6:1–138
- Gordon I (1938) Pycnogonida. Sci Rep Australas Antarct Exped 2:1–40
- Gordon I (1944) Pycnogonida. Rep B A N Z Antarct Res Exped 5:1–172
- Hedgpeth JW (1950) Pycnogonida of the United States Navy Expedition, 1947–48. Proc U S Natn Mus 100:147–160
- Helfer H, Schlottke E (1935) Pantopoda. Dr. H. G. Bronns Kl Ordn Tierreichs 5:1–314
- Hodgson TV (1907) Pycnogonida. National Antarctic Expedition 1901–1904. Reports of the National Antarctic expedition of 1901–1904. Nat Hist 3:1–172
- Hodgson TV (1908) The Pycnogonida of the Scottish National Antarctic expedition. Trans R Soc Edinb 46:159–188
- Hodgson TV (1927) Die Pycnogoniden der Deutschen Südpolar-Expedition 1901–1903. Dtsch Südpolar Exped Ser II Zool 19:303–358
- Krapp F (1980) Neue Pantopodenfunde (Pycnogonida, Arthropoda) nahe der Belgischen Antarktis-Station. Bulletin del’Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles Belgique 52:1–8
- Leach WE (1814) The zoology miscellany. London 1:1–144
- Loman JCC (1923) The Pycnogonida. Furth Zool Results Swed Antarct Exped 1:1–41
- Möbius K (1902) Die Pantopoden der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition, 1898–99. Wiss Ergeb Dt Tiefsee Exped “Valdicia” 1898–1899 3:177–196
- Müller HG (1993) World catalogue and bibliography of the recent Pycnogonida. Laboratory for Tropical Ecosystems, Wetzlar
- Munilla T (1991) Picnogónidos capturados en la campaña “antártica 8811”. Bol Inst Esp Oceanogr 7:3–44
- Munilla T (2000) A new species of *Ammothea* and other pycnogonids from around Livingston Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Antarct Sci 12:47–51
- Munilla T (2001) Synopsis of the pycnogonids from Antarctic and Subantarctic waters. Polar Biol 24:941–945
- Munilla T (2002) *Ammothea tibialis*, a new pycnogonid from Drake Pasaje, Antarctica. Antarct Sci 14:171–173
- Munilla T (2005) *Ammothea bigibbosa* (Arthropoda, Pycnogonida), a new species of the Antarctic Peninsula waters. Polar Biol 29:70–72
- Munilla T, Soler-Membrives A (2007) The occurrence of pycnogonids associated with the volcanic structures of Bransfield Strait central basin (Antarctica). Sci Mar 71:699–704
- Munilla T, Soler-Membrives A (2009) Check-list of the pycnogonids from Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters: zoogeographic implications. Antarct Sci 21:99–111
- Nielsen JF, Lavery S, Lörz AN (2009) Synopsis of a new collection of sea spiders (Arthropoda: Pycnogonida) from the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Polar Biol 32:1147–1155
- Pfeffer G (1889) Zur Fauna von Süd-Georgien. Jahrb Hamb Wiss Anst 6:39–55
- Pushkin AF (1993) The pycnogonida fauna of the South Ocean. Biological results of the soviet Antarctic expeditions. Russian Academy of Sciences. Explor Fauna Seas 20:1–397
- Soler-Membrives A, Turpaeva E, Munilla T (2009) Pycnogonids of the Eastern Weddell Sea (Antarctica), with remarks on their bathymetric distribution. Polar Biol 32:1389–1397
- Stiboy-Risch C (1992) *Ammothea bicorniculata*, eine neue art der Ammotheidae aus der Antarktis (Pantopoda, Pycnogonida). Bonn Zool Beitr 43:333–338
- Stock JH (1965) Pycnogonides provenant de la base Antarctique Belge. Bull Inst R Sci Nat Belg 41:1–2
- Turpaeva EP (1974) The pycnogonids of the Scotia Sea and surrounding waters. Trudy Instituta Okeanologii P.P. Shirshow, Akad Nauk SSSR 98:277–305
- Turpaeva EP (1998) Annotated list of pantopods (Pycnogonida) from Eastern part of Weddell Sea. Benthos of the high latitude regions. VNIRO Publishing House, Moscow, pp 56–68
- Weis A, Melzer RR (2012) Chilean and Subantarctic Pycnogonida collected by the “Huinay fjordos” expeditions 2005–2011. Zoosyst Evol 88:185–203
- Weis A, Friedrich S, Melzer RR (2011) Antarctic Pycnogonida housed at the Bavarian State collection of zoology. Zoosyst Evol 87:297–317